EXHIBIT L

In the Matter Of:

UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

NO. 1:16-cv-03088-ELR

VICKIE D. CLEVELAND



1	A 2017, correct. Yes.
2	Q Thank you. Just wanted to get it correct
3	on the record.
4	A See the timeline. I'm trying to think of
5	the timelines.
6	Q Thank you.
7	When you applied, did you assume it was
8	going to be similar responsibilities to what you
9	were doing as the interim program manager?
10	A Yes, and that was a short window, too,
11	from November to February, but yes.
12	Q And what did you understand the role to be
13	of the GNETS program manager?
14	A To provide technical assistance to the 24
15	programs that are out there across the State
16	throughout the network; to work with the budget
17	division in looking at allocations for GNETS
18	funding; working with them to allocate those funds
19	to the GNETS programs; working with the budget
20	division within special education to review budgets
21	that were submitted for approval with them;
22	providing technical assistance around the strategic
23	plan, which is the framework for issues for
24	implementation of the GNETS program; training on the
25	Board rule to GNETS directors and also LEAs if



1	needed.
2	I'm trying to think what else.
3	And just providing professional learning
4	and technical assistance as needed and throughout
5	the year for GNETS directors.
6	Q Have these responsibilities changed as
7	your during your time as program manager?
8	A The responsibilities are still the same.
9	Still maybe more training, collaborative training
10	with other divisions, like making sure GNETS has
11	access to TKES and LKES training, professional
12	qualifications, working with Title II. So I worked
13	with some other units to get technical assistance to
14	GNETS directors.
15	Q You just mentioned TKES and LKES?
16	A That is the evaluation system for teachers
17	in the State of Georgia and LKES is the evaluation
18	tool that is used for leaders in the State of
19	Georgia.
20	So Title II provides training for the
21	GNETS directors on the TKES piece. Because all
22	teachers are evaluated on TKES.
23	Q What does Title II refer to?
24	A Title II, the piece that I collaborate
25	with my colleagues on, is the certification, teacher



1	certification piece.
2	Q So you work on the certification piece
3	within Title II?
4	A I don't work on that piece, but Karen
5	Cliett leads the professional qualifications Title
6	II, which is certification piece of that, and she
7	provides training to GNETS directors. So I reach
8	out to her to find out when she can provide that
9	training.
10	Q And is this Title II of state law or
11	federal law?
12	A Title II is federal law. I just know it's
13	in the Title II Division, and I just work with her
14	on the training.
15	Q How did you spell her name? Or how do you
16	spell her name?
17	A I think it's Karen, K-A-R-E-N, Cliett,
18	C-L-I-E-T-T.
19	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
20	to mark this document as Plaintiff's Exhibit
21	375 because we used 374 yesterday.
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-375 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	MS. TUCKER: So the Bates number on the
25	first page of this exhibit is Georgia 00317569.



1	BY MS. TU	JCKER:
2	Q	And this is an email that you forwarded
3	yourself	on March 16th, 2018, and it has one
4	attachmer	nt, correct?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	The underlying email was sent to you by
7	Nakeba Ra	ahming on March 13th, 2018, correct?
8	A	Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
9	Q	We'll have to remember to say yeses
10	instead o	of an uh-hum and nods.
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Thank you, Ms. Cleveland.
13		Do you recognize this email?
14	A	I do.
15	Q	And earlier we went over Nakeba Rahming
16	and Zelph	nine Smith-Dixon, correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And Nakeba Rahming was Zelphine's deputy
19	for a tim	ne, correct?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Let's flip to the first page of the
22	attachmer	nt.
23	A	Okay.
24	Q	Which starts with GA0031751.
25		571.



1	GA00317571.
2	Do you recognize this chart?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you create this chart?
5	A I don't recall creating the chart. Well,
6	let me make sure.
7	I know we talked about we met and
8	talked about what the responsibilities would look
9	like, Nakeba and I, and Zel was a part of that
10	conversation, and we talked about what
11	responsibilities I would have in the role.
12	So I cannot definitively say I just
13	created it, but I know we had discussion about what
14	my responsibilities would be.
15	Q And by "we," you mean Nakeba Rahming
16	A Yes, and Zel.
17	Q And by Zel
18	A Zel
19	Q You mean Zelphine
20	A Zelphine Smith-Dixon.
21	Q And the top of this charts reads: "GNETS
22	Program Manager Support, Vickie Cleveland."
23	Correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q At the top, am I correct that it reads
21222324	Q And the top of this charts reads: "GNETS Program Manager Support, Vickie Cleveland." Correct? A Yes.



1	"Direct report to Nakeba for 2 years"?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Why two years?
4	A That was determine a recommendation she
5	had made as the deputy because she had served in
6	this previous role, and she wanted me to work with
7	her for a couple of years. That's kind of to shadow
8	because she had done the role before, but
9	unfortunately she did not return.
10	Q And then after the two years, everything
11	was all of the support was with Zelphine?
12	A Yes. She was my direct supervisor.
13	Q It seems like there are some
14	responsibilities identified on this chart. Would
15	you agree?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Are there any responsibilities that are
18	missing?
19	Can you take a look?
20	A Okay.
21	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
22	A I'm trying to think if there's anything in
23	addition to all that.
24	That seems to correct.
25	Q I believe earlier you mentioned that



1	Zelphine evaluated you and not Nakeba Rahming?
2	A Correct.
3	Q On the column with Nakeba, do you see
4	where it says, "Vickie's performance evaluation"?
5	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
6	Q So did Nakeba Rahming evaluate you?
7	A No. She when I came on board in
8	February 2018, she left in April. So I did not
9	receive an evaluation from her. And I had a short
10	window of working with her as kind of a
11	co-supervisor because I came on board February and
12	she left in April.
13	Q She left in April 2018?
14	A Yes.
15	Q There are a few abbreviations or acronyms
16	on this chart.
17	A Okay.
18	Q What is OPB?
19	A Office of Planning and Budget.
20	Q Within GaDOE?
21	A No. That is within the State, the budget
22	office.
23	Q The IDT?
24	A That's the independent directors I'm
25	trying to think what the "T" stands for, but it's



1	through an umbrella. I don't know a lot of
2	specifics about it, but it's a System of Care.
3	Q Is it specific to students?
4	A I don't think so. I'm not sure. I don't
5	think so. I'm not sure.
6	Q Let's move over to the second column,
7	starting with "Collaborative support from Zelphine."
8	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
9	Q The second bullet, do you see where it
10	says, "CFM visits"?
11	A Yes.
12	Q What is CFM?
13	A Cross-functional monitoring visits are
14	monitoring that takes place through Federal
15	Programs. In the Special Education Division the
16	cross-functional monitoring visits are done by RDA,
17	results driven accountability, Team, and they go out
18	and review. I know they take a look at IEPs and
19	look at IEPs for compliance.
20	And if they find any findings, as far as
21	the compliance piece of IEPs, they would notify me
22	if there were findings within their visits.
23	Q And by IEP, are you referring to an
24	Individualized Education Plan?
25	A Yes.



1	Q And it says, "Collaborate with DLs"?
2	A Those are the district liaisons. They're
3	the staff that go out to do the cross-functional
4	monitoring visits with the different LEAs.
5	Q And they also look at GNETS programs?
6	A They do file reviews, is my understanding,
7	and then the file reviews they do look at I think
8	for each visit to GNETS files they review.
9	Q Is that set somewhere as a rule, that it's
10	two GNETS files per review?
11	A I'm not sure if we would define it as a
12	rule, but I know that has been the process that has
13	been articulated to me, that they do review two
14	files when they go out to do those visits.
15	Q And are those visits annual?
16	A I think it's a three-year rotating cycle.
17	Every three years different fiscal agents are
18	reviewed. So it's a three-year rotation.
19	Q Thank you.
20	A Uh-hum. Sure.
21	Q The fourth bullet down reads: "Present at
22	SELDA as needed." Correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q What is SELDA?
25	A SELDA is a Special Education Leadership



1	Development Academy.
2	It's an academy for new directors of
3	special education that's led by led through the
4	Special Education Office Division.
5	Q What do you present on?
6	A I've presented probably maybe twice to
7	SELDA, and my presentation is around GNETS. The
8	Board rule, explain the Board rule.
9	Some of my training has been defining the
10	roles, LEA responsibilities, GNETS directors'
11	responsibilities.
12	Q And the SEA responsibility?
13	A Yes, and the SEA responsibilities. I
14	presented yeah, around the rule and the
15	responsibilities defining those roles.
16	Q And by SEA, do we agree we mean state
17	education agency?
18	A That is correct.
19	Q Let's go down two bullets. Do you see
20	where it reads "Collaborate on PL" and then in
21	parenthesis "GLRS support."
22	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
23	Q You see that?
24	A I do, yes.
25	Q Could you please tell me what that means?

1	A The Georgia Learning Resource Services are
2	our regional leaders. I'm not sure how many there
3	are, but I have not done a lot of collaboration with
4	our former GLRS program manager.
5	So that was listed but I have not done a
6	lot of collaboration with GLRS.
7	Q And by PL, what did it mean?
8	A Professional learning.
9	Q Is this something you look to do more of?
10	A It could be but I have not had any
11	planning on it.
12	Q Thank you.
13	And you mentioned this captures the
14	majority of your responsibilities? Is that correct?
15	A It does capture the majority, yes.
16	Q Thank you.
17	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
18	to mark this document as Plaintiff's Exhibit
19	366 376.
20	The Bates number on the first page of this
21	exhibit is Georgia or GA00016072.
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-376 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	BY MS. TUCKER:
25	Q This is a February 1st, 2018 email from



1	Nakeba Rahming to the regional GNETS program
2	directors with the subject line "GNETS Program
3	Manager," and you are copied to this email.
4	Mrs. Cleveland, do you recognize this
5	email?
6	A I do.
7	Q Am I correct that in this email Nakeba
8	Rahming officially announces you as the GNETS
9	program manager?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you see where Nakeba Rahming writes, in
12	the last sentence, quote: "She and I will continue
13	working together to ensure that you and your
14	students continue to have direct representation and
15	support at the state level."
16	A Yes.
17	Q Am I correct that Nakeba Rahming is
18	referring to you as the "she"
19	A Yes.
20	Q in that, in that sentence? Am I
21	correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q How did you and Nakeba Rahming work
24	together to ensure regional GNETS program directors
25	and their students had direct representation at the



August 17, 2022

1	state	level?
L	State	TC A CT:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 Coming in in February, again with her Α 3 leaving in April, did not have a lot of time to plan what that would look like, but it would fall within 4 those responsibilities that I had, that are outlined 5 in what my job responsibilities were going to be to 6 7 provide that technical assistance, which is training -- which would be my understanding, 8 9 training, professional learning, and also supporting through the monitoring process. But we did not get 10 11 to work together on that.
- Q After Nakeba left in April 2018, would you agree that you had provided that state level representation and support for the regional GNETS programs?
 - A Support in the vein of what those responsibilities are, that I outlined earlier. You know, the responsibilities allocating budgets, reviewing the budgets, strategic plan implementation, monitoring on that.
 - So I would say within my responsibilities, yes, providing technical assistance to those programs.
 - Q And representation and support at the state level, consistent with her words?



1	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.	
2	You can answer.	
3	A Say it again. I'm sorry. Can you repeat	
4	the question?	
5	Q Yes. Ms. Rahming mentioned that she	
6	planned for you and her to work to make sure the	
7	regional GNETS programs have direct representation	
8	and support at the state level. I was wondering if	
9	you feel that you may have that direct	
10	representation for the regional GNETS programs at	
11	the state level?	
12	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.	
13	You can answer.	
14	A I do not see it as direct representation.	
15	I see it as providing technical assistance and	
16	professional learning support.	
17	Q Who have you worked with at GaDOE in	
18	connection with your role as GNETS program manager?	
19	A I have the responsibilities, with the	
20	budget piece, the allocation of budget, I worked	
21	with Geronald Bell, who is the budget liaison who	
22	works with GNETS.	
23	Q And Geronald Bell is a budget liaison	
24	within GaDOE?	
25	A Yes. Within the budget division, yes.	



1	These are things that I can just pull up		
2	and they're right there.		
3	Oconee. Coastal I think receives it.		
4	Cedarwood, Flint program, Northstar, Northwest		
5	Georgia GNETS.		
6	Go down the list.		
7	Rutland. I'm just going through the list.		
8	But those are the ones I can easily recall.		
9	Q You hit seven of the eleven?		
10	A There are four out there, in here		
11	somewhere.		
12	Q You mentioned it was based on need. Can		
13	you elaborate?		
14	A The way it was initially set up, the		
15	programs that receive that are in areas where it's		
16	difficult to find, you know, providers in those		
17	areas because of where they're regionally based, was		
18	my understanding.		
19	So this grant allows them to, you know,		
20	contract out or use it to find supports,		
21	professionals to provide therapeutic supports.		
22	Q And you earlier mentioned or testified		
23	that you monitored the monthly logs, correct?		
24	A Yeah. Lakesha receives those and compiles		
25	those and shares the updates with me.		



1	Q What do you look for?
2	A One, I'm looking to see if they're
3	evidence-based interventions that the therapists are
4	using, that they're documenting what they are really
5	doing with the students in providing the
6	interventions.
7	Q What do you mean by evidence-based
8	interventions?
9	A Some of the things that are listed here.
10	Line set, cognitive behavior therapy, if they are
11	doing clinical interviewing.
12	It could be it looks different for each
13	program, depending on what the need of the kids are
14	that they are serving.
15	Q And do you provide feedback after
16	receiving the logs of needed changes?
17	A Not necessarily needed changes, but if I
18	we look at the logs and we have questions, I need
19	clarification, we'll call, have a follow-up
20	conversation, if needed. Can you tell me more about
21	this, what does this mean, what is this
22	intervention. Because it could be something that we
23	as professionals are not familiar with.
24	But not, you know, too often. But if
25	there are questions, we do follow up.



	manager,	correct?
2	A	My understanding, that changed when the
3	Board ru	le changed.
4	Q	When was that?
5	A	The Board rule was reauthorized in 2017, I
6	believe.	
7	Q	Does GaDOE receive data on how long a
8	student l	nas been in GNETS?
9	A	No, I don't receive a report on that.
10	Q	That's not information that you collect?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Like length of stay? Length of placement?
13	A	No. Not currently, no.
14	Q	Do you have any knowledge on this area of
15	how long	an average stay for a GNETS student is?
16	A	I do not.
17	Q	Is that information that the regional
18	GNETS pro	ograms collect?
19		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
20	A	I don't know that they would collect it.
21	Q	Do you look at data related to GNETS
22	students	who leave and return to the general
23	education	n setting?
24	A	That's the data in the grant application
25	that lool	ks at reintegration.



1	They list in there, and this is	
2	self-reported data from each program, they list	
3	students, you know, that went back for	
4	extracurricular, students that went back to their	
5	home school district. There are different little	
6	reporting pieces in there, maybe four or five items,	
7	that they provide data through their grant	
8	application process. So we do have that	
9	information.	
10	Q What are the four or five items that are	
11	required in the grant application?	
12	A One of them was short-term stabilization,	
13	return to home school.	
14	Let me see. I'm trying to think what else	
15	was on there.	
16	Or remain center-based. I don't want to	
17	misquote but these are some of the pieces of the	
18	reintegration those are the examples of the	
19	reintegration data that's in the grant app.	
20	Q Do you assess this data when looking at a	
21	GNETS application?	
22	A We do take a look at that.	
23	Q What do you look for?	
24	A Just what they're reporting as the, the	
25	locations that kids are being served.	



1	What we have done previously, too, in
2	strategic plan reviews, that's the data that is
3	looked at with that, and just have conversations
4	with them about the number of kids who have
5	reintegrated, the number of kids that are
6	participating in extracurricular activities. We've
7	had discussions with some with them during
8	strategic plan reviews on that.
9	Q What would you discuss with regard to
10	that?
11	A Just asking that question. What does your
12	reintegration data look like? How many students
13	have gone back to their home school districts? How
14	many kids are participating in extracurricular
15	activity with their home school districts?
16	If they are center-based, are the kids
17	leaving centers and going back to participate in
18	extracurricular. Are kids that are school-based,
19	are they participating? When are they exiting?
20	Any questions around reintegration.
21	Q Why are you asking those questions?
22	A It is part of the strategic plan. That's
23	a section we have in there, and it's in the grant
24	app, reintegration data, because we want to see the
25	data and the trends of who's remaining in GNETS and



1	in what environments and how are they accessing		
2	their peers.		
3	Q Do you it sounds like you review this		
4	at the regional program level?		
5	A Yes, we do.		
6	Q Do you look at it as a whole for the GNETS		
7	program?		
8	A It's a		
9	Q For all 24 together?		
10	A No, I haven't pulled that data as a whole,		
11	but I'm able to see it for each individual GNETS.		
12	Q Have you ever recommended changes to a		
13	regional GNETS program related to that student		
14	reintegration data?		
15	A I have not.		
16	Q Have you ever what type of feedback		
17	would you provide on those topics?		
18	A Feedback may be positive, if they're if		
19	I'm seeing that kids are going back for some period		
20	of a school day or if they're going back for		
21	electives, connections, some sports activities, RTC,		
22	something like that.		
23	And the feedback may be that as you		
24	know, when you're you know, you're looking at		
25	LRE, are you guys how many kids did you have go		



1	back? What did that look like? What did their	
2	services look like when they went back.	
3	You know, always encouraging like through	
4	the Board rule that kids are educated in I know	
5	this is special ed jargon, but it's the least	
6	restrictive environment. Always encouraging them to	
7	look at least restrictive environment per the Board	
8	rule and just per IEP process.	
9	Q Do you provide any constructive feedback	
10	on this point about certain steps to take?	
11	A No, because the decisions are so local	
12	they're local. I can't make decision or make	
13	recommendations for what IEP teams are going to	
14	recommend for their students.	
15	Q You just mentioned that you in this data	
16	look at the number of extracurricular activities for	
17	GNETS students, correct?	
18	A That's one of the items.	
19	Q Do all regional GNETS programs have their	
20	students participating in extracurriculars?	
21	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.	
22	A I don't know. I mean I don't have that	
23	data memorized to know if kids have gone back but	
24	it's data I can get access to.	
25	Q Okay.	



1		
1	rule, the roles for the LEA and the roles for the	
2	GNETS directors.	
3	Q This is the 2017 Board rule?	
4	A Yes, the most updated.	
5	MS. TUCKER: Let's take a break for lunch.	
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 12:52	
7	p.m.	
8	(A luncheon recess was taken.)	
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the	
10	record at 1:41 p.m.	
11	BY MS. TUCKER:	
12	Q Welcome back, Mrs. Cleveland.	
13	A Thank you.	
14	Q Do you participate in interviews of the	
15	regional GNETS program directors?	
16	A No, I do not.	
17	Q Do you know who does?	
18	A I don't well, no, I do not. I know	
19	each fiscal agent has their process for how they	
20	hire their staff.	
21	Q Are you consulted before a regional GNETS	
22	director is selected?	
23	A No.	
24	Q Do you provide any evaluations on the	
25	GNETS, regional GNETS program directors?	



1	recently? I believe you said that the programs
2	continue to receive these grants each year?
3	A This one but we don't do an assurance
4	for this. We do have fiscal assurances for the
5	other I mean for the fiscal agents. There's RESA
6	fiscal assurances for the state grant dollars, and
7	then there's an LEA fiscal assurance.
8	But I don't have a fiscal assurance that
9	is issued for the therapeutic services grant. It's
10	a subgrant, and I don't have any assurances that
11	I've seen around that.
12	Q Do you know when this process stopped for
13	this grant?
14	A I do not, because I've never seen this
15	form.
16	Q Okay.
17	A This is like she's in agreement with a
18	contract provider, maybe. I'm just reading the
19	form.
20	Q An employee of Staff Rehab?
21	A Yeah, it looks like this is something she
22	does.
23	Q But there's no longer assurances for this
24	grant?
25	A No I'm not aware of any CaDOF aggurances



1	familiar v	with?
2	A	I've seen that old version but I'm not
3	familiar v	with the contents, whereas I am with this
4	one becaus	se this one I was coming in, in the
5	November.	
6	Q	Why was the rule revised in 2017?
7		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
8	A	I do not know. I wasn't there.
9	Q	Did you participate in any conversation
10	since you	were at GaDOE at that time?
11	A	The rule was revised in July, and I was
12	not working	ng in GNETS. At that time I was a DL.
13	Q	And it didn't come up when you were a DL?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Would you say the GNETS rule informs how
16	you work	in your position?
17	A	It does.
18	Q	In what ways?
19	A	One, the SEA roles and responsibilities
20	are define	ed in that, and I'm able to provide
21	technical	assistance to GNETS directors and special
22	education	directors on the Board rule and the
23	contents.	
24	Q	Let's take a look at the rule.
25		I am going to show you what was previously



1	marked as	Plaintiff's Exhibit 82.						
2		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-82 was						
3	previously marked for identification.)							
4	BY MS. TU	CKER:						
5	Q	This is the top of the document states						
6	"160-4-7.	15." It says, "Georgia Network for						
7	Education	al and Therapeutic Supports (GNETS)."						
8		Mrs. Cleveland, is this the 2017 rule?						
9	А	I was going to look at the back.						
10		It is, July 5th, 2017.						
11	Q	Let's turn to Section 5(a) on the SEA's						
12	duties and responsibilities. It starts on Page 4.							
13		Do you see that?						
14	А	Yes.						
15	Q	I believe we both agreed earlier SEA means						
16	state edu	cational agency?						
17	А	Correct.						
18	Q	So GaDOE?						
19	А	Yes.						
20	Q	Do you see where it says: "The SEA shall						
21	1. Receiv	e and disburse funds appropriated by the						
22	Georgia G	eneral Assembly to support GNETS services"?						
23	А	Yes.						
24	Q	Who all works on this responsibility						
25	within GaDOE?							



A This is the budget process. I work with
the budget liaison, and this is that process I
explained where I get the student record counts.
When I get those, usually around July, I get those
to Geronald, our budget liaison.

It goes through that process of him getting that information to the Office of Planning and Budget, and whatever happens in that legislature process through the budget process that year, once it comes back from them, he then gets the final allocations, once the budget is approved and signed by the Governor. It's my understanding he then gets those allocations to me and then I create the Board item for the allocations.

Q How long does that process take?

A The budget process, I guess it falls in the legislative calendar, which I'm not sure the first start date or end date, but I know this information, as far as students record count, I typically will get that to Geronald, like in September, like around this time. September I get those numbers to him, and then I don't hear back from him until the spring, once it's gone through that legislative budget process, that these are the approved allocations for GNETS.



1	And then from there I share with my direct
2	supervisor, Lakesha and Shaun, of course, these are
3	the allocations, whatever fiscal year it is, and
4	then I develop that Board item with the spreadsheet
5	that shows what those allegations are going to be.
6	Q And when are funds disbursed to the GNETS
7	program?
8	A Once it goes comes over I typically
9	get that April, May, maybe from Geronald. The Board
10	item is created. It goes to the Board for approval,
11	of course. And once the Board approves it, it goes
12	to Grants Accounting Office and the Grants
13	Accounting Office pushes the funding out to their
14	budgets.
15	Q Can you give an estimate of what time of
16	year that is?
17	A April. You know, the, the general
18	assembly does their piece in approving everything,
19	the Governor signs off.
20	This could be I kind of handle that as
21	a Board item typically by June. That's when I
22	usually take that item. So I'm getting that
23	information, you know, the Board item developed,
24	approval, sent to whoever it needs to go to, and

again it goes to the Board for June.

1	Q Are there ever items in the budget that
2	you don't approve?
3	A Not, not very often. It may be like that
4	example I gave, if I see something the example I
5	remember is 10 positions were on the budget. What
6	exactly, you know, is that for? Is that for
7	parents, teachers? Is it therapeutic people? You
8	know, what are those for?
9	So things like that, but that doesn't
10	happen regularly, from what I can recall right now.
11	Q Let's look at 2 3ii.
12	Do you see where it reads: "Monitor GNETS
13	to ensure compliance with Federal and state
14	policies, procedure, rules, and the delivery of
15	appropriate instructional and therapeutic services"?
16	A I mentioned before the Results Driven
17	Accountability Unit. They monitor through
18	cross-functional monitoring, where they look at
19	those two GNETS files whenever they go out.
20	We have monitored through my the two of
21	us, Lakesha and I, through the strategic plan
22	review, you know, looking at that, reviewing that
23	with them. It's a self-assessment type rubric, that
24	they have the different activities outlined in the
25	different focus areas in the strategic plan.



1	We have gone out and reviewed that with
2	them and looked at their ratings, their
3	self-assessment ratings, and given feedback based on
4	the, you know, the information that they share with
5	us, as to whether or not they are able to show
6	fidelity information for implementation for
7	the activities, the evidence of that. So we've
8	monitored that.
9	Q Through the strategic plan process?
10	A Uh-hum. For my division, yes.
11	And cross-functional monitoring teams,
12	when they go out, they monitor the RDA monitors,
13	like I said, those two IEPs they may pull. But our
14	budget division also monitors the budgets for the
15	different fiscal agents, and they do sometimes talk
16	with fiscal agents for GNETS about their budgets.
17	Q With the CFM monitoring, it's two IEP
18	files they look at?
19	A For RDA, yes. They pull two.
20	Q For results driven
21	A accountability. They pull two files,
22	and it's random. My understanding from them, it's
23	random.
24	Q Is it the student IEP file only or are
25	there more documents?



1	A With iReady, they can pull their own
2	reports from that, but I've had the vendor
3	previously present at like a directors meeting what
4	the data looks like. He's done that in the past,
5	what the data looks like for the network.
6	Q Turning back to communicating with the
7	regional GNETS directors, do you discuss therapeutic
8	support and services?
9	A Yes. One way one way that's discussed
10	is with the eleven we're able to see what
11	interventions are implemented for that.
12	In the strategic plan reviews, that is one
13	of the focus areas, and in that focus area we
14	discuss, when we've done reviews in the past, what
15	therapeutic services they're providing for the
16	students in their programs for each review. We talk
17	about that in that focus area.
18	Q I know you mentioned you have provided
19	trainings to the GNETS directors. Have you ever
20	presented and provided trainings to staff or
21	teachers?
22	A I've not trained other teachers.
23	Q The training that you provide to the

regional GNETS directors, is that intended for them



to then take back and train --

24

1	A Yes.						
2	Q We have to be careful about						
3	A I'm sorry. I'm trying not to do that.						
4	Q Don't worry.						
5	Do you visit regional GNETS programs?						
6	A Yes, I have visited. Of course everything						
7	was paused in 2020, but we I do like to go visit.						
8	Q Have you been to a regional GNETS program						
9	since 2020?						
10	A Last year? Did I have any meetings? All						
11	the meetings have been done virtually, but we're						
12	planning to go out this year.						
13	So I'm trying to think, make sure I didn't						
14	go anywhere in 2019 or 2021.						
15	Not that I can recall right now. I don't						
16	think we went out in 2021. Not that I can recall.						
17	Q Do you have any trainings						
18	MS. TUCKER: Scratch that.						
19	BY MS. TUCKER:						
20	Q Do you have any visits planned for the						
21	next few months?						
22	A We're planning. We're in the process of						
23	planning. Our specialists in our location are						
24	looking at planning dates to go out. Because our						
25	meeting, even though the GNETS directors meetings						



Т	nave been	virtual, of course since 2020, but we're				
2	looking this year in trying to do maybe three that					
3	are face-to-face.					
4		We're starting out with our first one				
5	virtually	and then the next one we plan to do				
6	face-to-fa	ice.				
7	Q	Which programs are those meetings with?				
8	A	That's with all the programs. All the				
9	GNETS dire	ectors.				
10	Q	I want to turn back to something a moment				
11	ago					
12	A	Okay.				
13	Q	when we were speaking at the same time.				
14		We spoke about the trainings. You provide				
15	trainings	to the regional GNETS directors, correct?				
16	A	Correct.				
17	Q	And you have not provided trainings to the				
18	GNETS staf	f or teachers, correct?				
19	A	Correct.				
20	Q	The trainings that you provide to the				
21	regional G	ENETS directors, are those then presented				
22	back to th	ne regional GNETS program staff?				
23		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.				
24	A	I don't know if they re-deliver everything				
25	because so	ome of the training that I provide, it may				



1	ho	applicable	+ ~	10001	toachor	a+aff	วกส	-i +-	marr	not
ユー!	De	applicable	LO	IOCal	teacher	Stall	and	エし	IIIay	HOL.

- 2 | So I don't know what specifically they're taking
- 3 | back, but a lot of the topics that we provide
- 4 | training on are those administrative type things,
- 5 | like the TKES and LKES training.
- I don't provide that training but I have
- 7 Deanie, who runs -- is a program specialist, I
- 8 believe, for TKES and LKES, provide that training,
- 9 and some GNETS directors may take that back or may
- 10 | not. I'm not sure.
- 11 Q Do you provide presentation materials in
- 12 | advance or after the fact?
- 13 A Yes, I do provide those presentation
- 14 | materials.
- 15 Q When you visit a regional GNETS program,
- 16 do you visit all the sites?
- 17 A I have not been able to visit every site,
- 18 | but my first couple years I was able to visit quite
- 19 a few, not all.
- But as we plan for visits for next year,
- 21 | that's what we've been looking at, is trying to
- 22 | touch as many as we can.
- 23 Q What reasons do you visit a regional GNETS
- 24 | program?
- 25 A One, to observe what's going on



1	there	e's any objection to privilege.
2		MS. TUCKER: Thank you.
3		MS. JOHNSON: Would you remind me which
4	Bates	s number we're on?
5		MS. TUCKER: The document starts at
6	GA003	363542.
7		MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
8		MS. TUCKER: And this is Plaintiff's
9	Exhil	oit 388 or 387.
10	A	So we're still on this?
11	Q	Yes. We'll go back to that. Thank you,
12	Mrs. Cleve	eland.
13		Mrs. Cleveland, you recognize this email?
14	А	The email top part, where it was
15	forwarded	to me?
16	Q	Yeah.
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And when you received it, you looked at
19	the bottom	n part of the email as well?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Do you see who's Joanna Mock?
22	A	Joanna Mock is the GNETS director for
23	Heartland	Academy program.
24	Q	Am I correct Joanna Mock is emailing the
25	other regi	ional GNETS directors?



	A Because this document, it looks like it
2	was created by the director. But my communication
3	was information on IEP file reviews.
4	Q What did you tell the regional GNETS
5	directors regarding your request for information?
6	A To review their files in light of the
7	information on this form. IEP file review. To
8	review their files based on this information
9	checklist.
10	Q Did you provide a reason for why you were
11	requesting this information?
12	A No; just that it was an IEP file review.
13	Q Okay. And how long did you give the
14	regional GNETS programs to respond?
15	A I don't recall the timeline.
16	Q Okay. Let's turn to the attachment, which
17	is the form at the top which says, "GaDOE Student
18	Information Checklist."
19	Did you request during the file review for
20	the GNETS student's name or the GNETS' name?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you think this refers to a GNETS
23	student name?
24	A The program name.
25	Q This refers to the program name? How does



1	that work	then if No. 2 is requesting the age?
2	А	I'm sorry, I want to make sure I it
3	says GNET	S name.
4		It looks like that is the name of the
5	GNETS pro	gram, because it down here it talks
6	about GTI	D, which would identify a student.
7	Q	Okay. So No. 1, you request the regional
8	GNETS pro	grams to look through their IEP files and
9	include the	ne GNETS name?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And then age of the student?
12	A	Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
13	Q	Grade of a student?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	The last four of the GTID?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	What is a GTID?
18	А	It's the Georgia identification number for
19	students,	enrolled in Georgia schools.
20	Q	The date of GNETS entry?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	The reason for GNETS placement?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	The primary eligibility?
25	А	Yes.



1	Q	The medical diagnosis?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	The secondary eligibility?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Whether the student's record indicated
6	that they	were unable to receive FAPE in a lesser
7	restricti	ve environment?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Am I correct that you also asked for
10	additiona	l documentation if an answer was yes to
11	that ques	tion?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Whether the student had an FBA prior to
14	GNETS ser	vices?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	You asked for the FBA date upon entry?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	The current FBA date?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Whether the student had a BIP prior to
21	entering	GNETS?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	The current BIP date?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Whether a comprehensive reevaluation was



1	completed	within the last three years?			
2	A	Yes.			
3	Q	Their most recent IEP review date?			
4	A	Yes.			
5	Q	Whether an IEP meeting included a GNETS			
6	director o	or their designee?			
7	A	Yes.			
8	Q	Whether the student has an IEP goal for			
9	behavior?				
10	A	Yes.			
11	Q	And you asked for each therapeutic service			
12	to be identified that a student receives?				
13	A	Yes.			
14	Q	And am I correct that you asked the next			
15	questions	related to the continuum of GNETS			
16	services,	delivery and environments?			
17	A	Uh-hum. Yes.			
18	Q	You asked you asked whether the IEP			
19	considered	d the IEP team considered the general			
20	education	setting in the student's own school or			
21	public sch	nool?			
22	A	Yes.			
23	Q	Whether the IEP considered a pullout from			
24	the genera	al education setting as part of their			
25	school day	v in a zoned school or other public school?			



1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Whether the IEP team considered the
3	student's	zoned school or other public school as
4	part of th	ne school day in a setting dedicated to
5	GNETS?	
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Whether the IEP team considered the
8	student's	zoned school or other public school for
9	the full o	day in a setting dedicated to GNETS?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Whether the IEP team considered a facility
12	dedicated	to GNETS for part of the school day?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And did the IEP team consider a facility
15	dedicated	to GNETS for the full school day?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Do you recall if you asked for any
18	additional	l information?
19	A	I do not recall asking for any additional
20	informatio	on.
21	Q	Was this information requested for all
22	GNETS stud	dents?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	For a certain school year or for a period
25	of years?	



August 17, 2022 203

1	A I believe it was for the most recent				
2	current, recent or current school year when we				
3	requested the data.				
4	Q So given the email is dated November 2nd,				
5	2020, am I correct that you were referring to the				
6	2020 to 2021 school year?				
7	A Yes.				
8	Q And did you review the data that you				
9	received?				
10	MS. JOHNSON: You can answer whether you				
11	reviewed data received from the directors,				
12	whether you personally did.				
13	A Some data but not all the data. I have				
14	not had the opportunity to review the data, all the				
15	data.				
16	Q Which data did you elect to look at at				
17	this time?				
18	A It was we were looking at going through				
19	and reviewing it for all the GNETS that had				
20	submitted the data, probably looked at a couple of				
21	files but have not had an opportunity to dig in to				
22	the data.				
23	Q Okay. Have you requested this information				



Α

again for the next school year?

I have not.

24

25

1	Q And I think I asked this. Did they have a
2	deadline to complete the information requested?
3	A I don't recall the deadline. I would have
4	to but I don't recall. I can't recall right now
5	a deadline.
6	Q Is there any other data that GaDOE
7	regularly collects from the regional GNETS programs
8	that we haven't discussed yet?
9	A We talked about therapeutic services, the
10	information we get. Of course, the student record
11	file we get.
12	I can't think of any at this moment
13	recall any other data that I get from Data
14	Collections and therapeutic services information.
15	Q Thank you. You've referenced the GNETS
16	Strategic Plan a few times during our conversation
17	today?
18	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
19	Q Remember the yeses and nos.
20	A Yes.
21	Q What is the purpose of a GNETS Strategic
22	Plan?
23	A The strategic plan serves as a framework
24	for the implementation of services throughout the
25	network. Those six focus areas are included in the



1	stra	tegic	: pla	an.	Again,	it's	а	framework	to	guide
2	the	work	for	the	network	۲.				

- Q How did it originate?
- A History before my tenure, I know that there was a revision in 2016.

with some of the directors, stakeholders, children stakeholders. We updated -- I'm not sure historically where it originally came from, but I do know, as I was coming in and Nakeba was moving out of the position, it was in place. Again, we did another update in 2019.

- Q Did you make many changes in 2019?
- A Not many changes. We did reduce the focus year from seven to six. We combined program leadership and accountability into that first focus area because some of the information and the activity was some of the same, kind of asking the same questions. If we were doing a review, it may be that we were seeing the same artifacts presented.

So we decided to, with input from the directors and the stakeholders, to combine those two areas, but those were the only big changes, went from seven to six focus areas, but a lot of the other content pretty much stayed the same, just a



1	few revisions here and there.
2	Q And that was you and Lakesha?
3	A We led it. We also had GNETS directors be
4	a part of that.
5	We got feedback in a directors meeting
6	from all the directors. Hey, here is Section 2 of
7	the strategic plan, we'd like your feedback, and we
8	got their feedback. And then this team Lakesha,
9	not a team, and directors came together to finalize
10	what some of those revisions would be, but not major
11	revisions.
12	Q Thank you.
13	How often are the strategic plans
14	completed by the regional GNETS programs?
15	A They should that's the framework, so
16	they use those each year. That's that
17	self-assessment piece where they do a
18	self-assessment on their implementation of the
19	framework, and they do one in the fall and then they
20	do one at the end of the year.
21	Q Let's walk through the steps related to
22	the GNETS strategic plan as if I was a regional
23	GNETS director.
24	A Okay.
25	Q So you mentioned that there's a



August 17, 2022 207

self-assessment in the fall? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 What does that entail? 0 4 Α They take the strategic plan, which has a 5 rubric built within it, and they go through the different activities and they rate themselves. 6 It's 7 a self-assessment piece. For this specific 8 activity, are we operational on this activity, is it 9 emerging in our practices or do we see it's not 10 evident. So with that self-assessment, they then 11 12 use that data and they work with their local teams 13 to say, for instance, if it's therapeutic services 14 section, we're not -- we're reviewing ourselves, our 15 self-assessment. We're not seeing these artifacts 16 of evidence of this implementation, and they then 17 meet as a team and work on ways to get to that place 18 of trying to get all of the activities moving to 19 operational. 20 What are the ratings? Q 21 Operational, emerging, and not evident. Α 22 Q With operational being the highest? 23 Yeah, that they are able to go through Α 24 that rubric and they can see what the activities 25 that are outlined in the framework, that they're



1	implementing those activities.
2	Q So that happens during the fall semester.
3	Is there a deadline to which they have to complete
4	that self-assessment?
5	A Most some will do it in early in
6	October, but most get it done by December, so that
7	they then can be ready to do their end of year. End
8	of year.
9	Q Do you receive the fall self-assessment?
10	A I get those at the end of the year as part
11	of their strategic I mean grant application.
12	That is one of the required attachments that we ask
13	for.
14	Q So you receive it in the grant
15	application?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you receive it when you receive their
18	end of the year self-assessment?
19	A They're both submitted at the same time.
20	Q With the grant application?
21	A With the grant application.
22	Q So the GNETS Strategic Plan
23	self-assessment is not
24	MS. TUCKER: Scratch that.
25	



1	BY	MS.	TUCKER:

- Q Is the GNETS Strategic Plan self-assessment submitted independently at the end of the year to you?
 - A Yes. Each GNETS director submits that.
 - Q And about what time of year at the end?
- A This year we changed that the grant applications had to be in by May 31st.
- Q So it's always an attachment to the grant application?
- 11 A That part of it. A lot of change process
 12 -- and processes. Some changes.

This -- for this past year, it was part of the end of year grant application process. They had to submit that. And now we -- our next steps would be to now schedule time, visits to go, or virtual meetings to talk to them about their end of year ratings and how their teams landed there.

And then there's a self-assessment summary, once they've done those ratings, their end of year, and they see kind of the different focus areas, hey, we're operational here, we're kind of still emerging in this, emerging in this area. They then rank for priorities. They rank the priorities for the different focus areas. What's the No. 1



1	area for you, what's the No. 2 area for you.
2	And we'll have conversations with them
3	about how they rank themselves, and they'll share
4	how they kind of landed with their ratings.
5	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
6	to mark this document as Plaintiff's Exhibit
7	388.
8	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-388 was
9	marked for identification.)
10	BY MS. TUCKER:
11	Q This is an email from you to Zelphine
12	Smith-Dixon and Shaun Owen. The date is August
13	28th, 2020, and the subject is updated revisions to
14	the strategic plan, and there's one attachment.
15	Again, it's GA00362004.
16	Mrs. Cleveland, do you recognize this
17	email?
18	A Yes. Yes.
19	Q And then let's turn to the second page,
20	which is with Bates GA00362005.
21	Am I correct that this is a document
22	titled "Georgia Network for Educational and
23	Therapeutic Support, Strategic Plan"?
24	A Yes.
25	Q "Implementation Fidelity Checklist &



1	And ensure students social and emotional.
2	This one has quite a few action items.
3	So as they as they implement this
4	framework, these are things we're looking at within
5	their programming. Are you implementing these
6	action items, and to what standard within the
7	rubric.
8	Q So at the top of Goal 2, it says:
9	"Throughout the school year, 100% of GNETS programs
10	will demonstrate highly reliable evidence of
11	implementing 'evidence based' behavioral support and
12	therapeutic services for all students at an
13	operational level."
14	Do you see that?
15	A I would need to have their ratings in
16	front of me. I can't definitively say, but I can
17	see when I do the reviews if they're implementing
18	that or not at that level. I don't know the
19	numbers.
20	Q So what happens if a regional program did
21	not meet a hundred percent?
22	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
23	A There's conversation, okay, you didn't
24	meet this action item at operational. What is your
25	team working on to do you know working to

1	implement	to	get	to	that	place?

And the last part of the strategic plan, it has that summary. So these areas where they may not be meeting at operational, you know, at a hundred percent, or meeting any of the action items at 100 percent, that's where the local team writes their priorities of areas that they need to work on, areas of improvement.

Q If a program was to not receive a hundred percent, would you then look differently at this portion the following year?

MS. JOHNSON: Objection.

A We would definitely want to have follow-up conversation. Hey, you didn't meet this action item a hundred percent, what has the local GNETS put in place to meet that.

O When would you have those conversations?

A Typically, when we did them before, when we were face-to-face, we would have those conversations then. Because, remember, they have done their self-assessment. They're then sharing with us what they have implemented, and that conversation could come up in their strategic plan review.

You're emerging here, you know, how --



1	what are you going to be doing to get this to
2	operational.
3	Q Who's involved in those conversations?
4	A Lakesha and I do have done the
5	strategic plan reviews in the past. Whether we were
6	doing them together or I was doing one or she was
7	doing one, the districts, we would be in those
8	conversations.
9	Q Can a program still be funded through the
10	GNETS grants if they don't meet these goals?
11	A Yes, the grant. Yes, they receive the
12	grant.
13	Q Are other steps taken in addition to the
14	conversations and further monitoring if they do not
15	meet the goals identified in the strategic plan?
16	A Say the question again.
17	Q Sure. They're various goals in the
18	strategic plan, correct?
19	A Right.
20	Q You mentioned that if a regional program
21	does not meet it, you have conversations about what
22	steps to take
23	A Or they share with us.
24	Q or they share with you.
25	What other steps are taken by GaDOE?



1	Q And am I correct that you've just flipped
2	to Bates GA
3	A I'm sorry.
4	Q 00362022?
5	A Yes.
6	Q So this is a sample self-assessment
7	improvement summary plan?
8	A Yes.
9	Q How long in the past, how long do the
10	strategic review plan meetings last?
11	A They could average three hours because we
12	would really go through the artifacts with them. So
13	approximately could be three hours. Two and a half,
14	three hours.
15	Q Circling back to the summary, does anyone
16	else at GaDOE receive these?
17	A No.
18	Q Just you and Lakesha?
19	A We receive them, yes.
20	Q Are they attached as part of the GNETS
21	grant application?
22	A Yes, the summaries are. Well, that's the
23	new process that I put in place this year.
24	Q Will the full self-assessment also be
25	attached this year, or just the summary?



1	A We haven't finalized that for this year
2	You mean for the reviews we're going to do for
3	the ones that we're going to review for their past
4	21-22 school year, it's just the summaries.
5	No, I have not made any decisions on what
6	that will look like for the next school year. Still
7	a lot of planning.
8	Q Who are you doing this planning with?
9	A I'll plan with my program specialist,
10	which is Lakesha, because we work in this work. And
11	of course I will share that with the special
12	education director, Wina.
13	MS. JOHNSON: Michelle, before we move on,
14	just to clean up the record, do you want to go
15	back to this document ending in 535? It was
16	one of the ones that we objected to, and just
17	to clarify the record, we withdraw the
18	objection to this document.
19	So to the extent you have any questions
20	about this exhibit, we can move forward with
21	that, just to lessen what we have to do on the
22	back end after today.
23	MS. TUCKER: Thank you. It's ending in
24	535?

535.



MS. JOHNSON:

25

It was just this one

1	A I think Lakesha and I worked on it
2	together.
3	Q Am I correct this document includes the
4	on-site monitoring for the strategic plan reviews
5	for fiscal year '18?
6	A '18 or '19?
7	Q The first sentence it says, quote "On-site
8	monitoring visits"
9	A I
10	Q I'll just finish it for the record.
11	"On-site monitoring visits will be
12	completed based on ratings received from the FY18
13	Strategic Plan Summary Reviews."
14	Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Thank you. So am I correct by looking at
17	this that programs that you visited in person versus
18	virtually, it was based on scores?
19	A Yes. This was how it was previously
20	feedback was previously provided. This was in 2019
21	2018, '19.
22	The previous process, because that process
23	has changed. We've now moved to just the rubric
24	ratings. We would take a look at each focus area
25	and look at rating based on percentages. If it's



1	five activity action items were in that area, they
2	got four in operation on that area. That's an 80
3	percent rating.
4	So we were using a numerical rating, but
5	we all have been moved towards just feedback on
6	implementation based on the rubric.
7	Q So I'm correct that you moved from a
8	numerical rating to the operational, emerging
9	A Yes.
10	Q not evident?
11	A Not rubric rating, yes.
12	Q That's what you referred to as the rubric
13	rating?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What led you to make that change?
16	A Just in discussion with the State
17	director. Not being able to have that conversation
18	to be able to know what the timeline was, but I
19	think initial conversations, and I don't know this
20	definitively, were to the ratings the
21	numericals were only looked at for three years.
22	So just in conversation with the State
23	director, we decided to move towards fidelity
24	implementation, using the rubric process versus
25	numerical.

